IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 114 OF 2006

Shivaji @ Bapu Tukaram Mane. ...Applicant
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra. ...Respondent

Mr.Sudatta Patil for Applicant.
Ms.S.UI.Gajre, APP for the State.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.

DATED : JANUARY 30, 2006

P.C. :-

. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State.

. The applicant is arrested in connection with an offence punishable under section 489(A)(B), 201 read with Section 34 of the IPC.

2. It is submitted by learned Counsel appearing for the applicant that there was no recovery of the fake currency notes and the ash of the burnt notes does not disclose that the applicant was responsible for committing the said offence.

3. In my view, the submission made by the learned Counsel for the applicant cannot be accepted. The applicant is involved in another case which is registered at Sangli Police Station in which he is released on bail. There are statements of witnesses which indicate the involvement of the applicant in the commission of said offence. This is not a fit case for grant of bail. The application is rejected. The trial Court will not be influenced by the observation made by this Court while rejecting the application for bail. The trial is expedited.

4. Liberty to the applicant to apply after six months if the trial is not over.

(V.M. KANADE, J.)